

JUL 21 04 12:38p

SVIPG

408 971 4660

P. 1

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## SILICON VALLEY IP GROUP, PC

JUL 21 2004

95 SOUTH MARKET ST., SUITE 420  
SAN JOSE, CA 95113TELEPHONE (408) 971-2573  
FAX (408) 971-4660

OFFICIAL

## FAX COVER SHEET

Date: July 21, 2004	Phone Number	Fax Number
To: Examiner Motilewa A. Good-Johnson		(703) 872-9306
From: Kevin J. Zilka		

Docket No.: NVIDP021/P00174

App. No.: 09/586,249

Total Number of Pages Being Transmitted, Including Cover Sheet: 13

## Message:

Please deliver to Examiner Motilewa A. Good-Johnson.

Thank you,

Kevin J. Zilka

☒ Original to follow Via Regular Mail ☒ Original will Not be Sent ☐ Original will follow Via Overnight Courier

\*\*\*\*\*  
The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (if long distance, please call collect) and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.  
\*\*\*\*\*

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES OR IF YOU ENCOUNTER  
ANY OTHER DIFFICULTY, PLEASE PHONE Erica  
AT (408) 971-2573 AT YOUR EARLIEST CONVENIENCE

July 21, 2004

## PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Lindholm et al.

Application No.: 09/586,249

Filed: 5/31/00

For: SYSTEM, METHOD AND ARTICLE OF  
MANUFACTURE FOR A PROGRAMMABLE  
VERTEX PROCESSING MODEL WITH  
INSTRUCTION SETAttorney Docket No.: NVIDP021/  
P000174

Examiner: Motilewa A. Good-Johnson

Group Art Unit: 2672

Date: July 21, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 at facsimile number: (703) 872-9306 on July 21, 2004.

Signed: 

Erica L. Farlow

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- ☒ Applicant(s) believe that no Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 50-1351 (Order No. NVIDP021/P000174).
- ☒ If the required fees are missing or any additional fees are required to facilitate filing the enclosed response, please charge such fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1351 (Order No. NVIDP021/P000174). A copy of this sheet is enclosed for billing purposes.

Respectfully submitted,  
Silicon Valley IP Group, PCKevin J. Ziilka  
Registration No. 41,429P.O. Box 721120  
San Jose, CA 95172-1120  
Telephone: (408) 971-2573

(Revised 1/96)



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND  
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. **25****Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)**

The amendment document filed on 3/4/04 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121, as amended on June 30, 2003 (see 68 Fed. Reg. 38611, Jun. 30, 2003). In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following omission(s) or provision is required. Only the section (1.121(h)) of the amendment document containing the omission or non-compliant provision must be resubmitted (in its entirety), e.g., the entire "Amendments to the claims" section of applicant's amendment document must be re-submitted.

THE FOLLOWING CHECKED (X) ELEMENTS(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

- ☐ 1. Amendments to the specification:
- ☐ A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
  - ☐ B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.
  - ☐ C. Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ 2. Abstract:
- ☐ A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.
  - ☐ B. Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ 4. Amendments to the claims:
- ☒ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.
  - ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all claims (incl. withdrawn claims)
  - ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified.
  - ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.
  - ☐ E. Other: \_\_\_\_\_

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP Sec. 714 and the USPTO website at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officellver.pdf>.

If the non-compliant amendment is a **PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**, applicant is given **ONE MONTH** from the mail date of this letter to supply the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121. Failure to comply with 37 CFR 1.121 will result in non-entry of the preliminary amendment and examination on the merits will commence without consideration of the proposed changes in the preliminary amendment(s). This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this **ONE MONTH** time limit is not extendable.

If the non-compliant amendment is a reply to a **NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION**, and since the amendment appears to be a *bona fide* attempt to be a reply (37 CFR 1.135(c)), applicant is given a **TIME PERIOD** of **ONE MONTH** from the mailing of this notice within which to re-submit the corrected section which complies with 37 CFR 1.121 in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD ARE AVAILABLE UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

If the amendment is a reply to a **FINAL REJECTION**, this form may be an attachment to an Advisory Action. The period for response to a final rejection continues to run from the date set in the final rejection, and is not affected by the non-compliant status of the amendment.

V. Buttl  
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

July 22, 2003 (rev.)